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Vegetarian Low-Fat Diets Caused Weight Loss

- 20 Coronary Disease Patients, San Francisco
  - Fat <10%, meals provided
  - Body weight:
    - Baseline: 200 lb (91 kg)
    - After 1 year: 178 lb (81 kg)
    - After 5 years: 183 lb (83 kg)
  - Control group increased 5 lb (2 kg)
  - Difference = 22 pounds

Gould KL et al. JAMA 1995;274:894
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Superiority of Very Low Fat Vegan Diet Compared to Standard Low-fat in 2 y: Effect of diet type and behavioral support

- Vegan
  - With support after 3 months: -5.3 kg
  - Without support: -0.4 kg
- Standard low-fat
  - With support: -2.7 kg
  - Without support: -0.5 kg

N=14-17 per group
P<0.05 for diet type and support


Strict Vegetarian Low-Fat Diets Caused Weight Loss

- Strict Vegetarians in Boston
  - 73 men, 43 women, mostly in their 20’s, adherent for 3 years
  - Fat 22%, Carb 65%, Protein 13%, High Fiber
  - Whole grains only; loads of vegetables
  - No white flour or sugar, few sweets, little fruit juice
  - Matched for age and sex with nearby nonvegetarians
  - Body weight: 128 lb (58 kg) vs 161 lb (73 kg)
    = a 33 pound difference!!
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Low-Fat vs Atkins Diet

Slightly Better Result with Low-Carb Compared to Calorie Control or Very Low-fat

Superiority of High- Unsaturated Fat, Mediterranean-style diet for weight loss

Low-Fat vs Atkins Diet

Similar Results with Low-Carb, Calorie Control or Very Low-Fat: Counseling by diet book

Low-Carb Diet vs Low-Fat Diet for Weight Loss

Low-Fat Diets

Mediterannean, Higher-fat Diets

Pounds Lost Study

Stern L...Samaha F. Ann Intern Med 2004;140:769

Gardner C. et al. JAMA 2007


Dansinger, Schaefer. JAMA 2005;293:43
Weight Gain in the Dropouts: Mediterranean and Low-Fat Groups Combined

- Dropouts had a net gain in weight of 9 pounds from the starting weight after 18 months.
- Those staying in the program lost 11 pounds.

Limitations in Some Comparative Trials of Diet Types and Weight Loss

- Short duration, most lasted a few months.
- Some control groups had lower intensity of intervention.
- Lack of blinded ascertainment of weight.
- Underrepresentation of men.
- Lack of information on adherence.
- Large percentage of dropouts, up to 50%.
- Novelty of one of the diets, media attention, and its marketing may have affected expectations of success.
- Equipoise may not have been achieved; researchers may have subtly favored a diet.

Mild Superiority of Mediterranean and Atkins Low-Carb compared to Low-fat

PoundsLost Trial Organization: Study Sites, Researchers, Funding

- Harvard School of Public Health and Brigham & Women’s Hospital, Boston; F. Sacks, PI, Study Chairperson; Kathy McManus, Meryl LeBoff
- Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, G. Bray PI; Donna Ryan, Steven Smith, Steven Anton, Don Williamson, Cathy Champagne, Jennifer Rood
- Coordinating Center: Channing Laboratory, Brigham & Women’s Hospital V Carey Director; N Laranjo
- National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, NIH; Cay Loria, Eva Obarzanek, Project Officers
- Investigator Initiated proposal to NHLBI funded by cooperative agreement grant to Harvard School of Public Heath.

Interpretation of Comparative Weight Loss Trials

- Divergent results among studies.
- Each diet type showed superiority over other types in certain trials.
- No obvious pattern of results favoring a specific fat, carb or protein content for weight loss.
- Superiority of a diet type in the first 3-6 months often not sustained by 1 to 2 y.

PoundsLost Trial: Diets

These diets with target nutrient levels:

1. Low-fat (20%), average protein (15%), highest carbohydrate (65%)
2. Low-fat (20%), high protein (25%), carbohydrate (55%)
3. High fat (40%), average protein (15%), carbohydrate (45%)
4. High fat (40%), high protein (25%), lowest carbohydrate (35%)

Similar foods used for all diets but in different proportions. All dietary approaches adhered to healthful guidelines to prevent cardiovascular disease.

Diet Comparisons Tested

- Factorial Design: N=400 per group
  - Dietary Fat Level
    - low 20% vs high 40%
  - Dietary Protein Level
    - Average 15% vs high 25%
  - Carbohydrate: N=200 per group
    - Highest 65% vs lowest 35%
    - Linear dose effect: 65%, 55%, 45%, 35%

Dietary Program for Weight Loss

- Macronutrient targets were the paramount teaching objective.
- Specified menus for 2 week cycles for each group.
- Participants taught to follow meal plans exactly.
- Energy reduction goal was 750 Kcal daily
- Physical activity goal was 90 minutes per week
- Same technique and intensity for all groups
- Group sessions:
  - 3 of every 4 weeks x 6 months, then 2 of every 4 weeks
  - Individual counseling sessions: Every 8 wks for 2 yr
- Web-based system for participants to record diet and exercise and obtain rapid feedback on goals.
- Contact among groups avoided

Investigators and staff taught participants that each diet had an equal chance of success in line with divergent results of past studies: goal was trial-wide “equipoise”.

Baseline Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All</th>
<th>Completers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White race</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI 25-30</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt;30</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>103 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed college</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended college</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PoundsLost: Primary Trial Outcome, 2 years
Body Weight Change: All randomized participants.

PoundsLost: Body Weight Change 2 years:
Completers, N=645 (80%).

PoundsLost, Body weight change each diet: All randomized participants, N=811, missing data imputed.
Pounds Lost, Body Weight Change, Each Diet: Completers, N=645 at 2 years.


Continued Weight Loss: from 6 months to 2 years

- 23% lost weight after 6 months
- Mean additional weight loss = 3.6 kg
- Total weight loss = 9.3 kg
- No differences among the diets


POUNDS LOST Waist circumference in Completers


Satiety, Diet Satisfaction: Participants’ reports at 6 months and 2 years

- Satiety by visual analogue scales
- Diet Satisfaction (Urban 1992)
- Food Craving: fats, sweets, carbohydrates, fast food fats, fruits & veg (FCI-II)
- Dietary restraint, disinhibition, hunger (Three Factor Eating Questionnaire, White M 2002)
- Quality of Life (SF-36)

No significant differences by diet group


Achievement of Specific Weight Loss, 2y (Post-hoc Analysis)

Carbohydrate/Protein/Fat, Target Intake, % Kcal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrate/Protein/Fat</th>
<th>65/15/20</th>
<th>55/25/20</th>
<th>45/15/40</th>
<th>35/25/40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5% initial</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10% initial</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20 kg</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90th p'tile</td>
<td>11.3 kg</td>
<td>12.3 kg</td>
<td>12.9 kg</td>
<td>10.9 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant differences by diet


Dansinger et al: One-Year Adherence in Four Diet Groups

Possible range of self-rated adherence level was from 1 (none) to 10 (perfect).

**Group Session Attendance and Weight Loss at 2 years: Total Group = 0.2 kg per session attended**


**Carbohydrate/Protein/Fat: Target and Reported Intakes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet Type</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>6 month</th>
<th>2 year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fat, Av-Prot</td>
<td>65/15/20</td>
<td>58/19/26</td>
<td>53/20/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fat, Hi-Prot</td>
<td>55/25/20</td>
<td>53/22/26</td>
<td>51/21/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi-Fat, Av-Prot</td>
<td>45/15/40</td>
<td>49/18/34</td>
<td>49/20/33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi-Fat, Hi-Prot</td>
<td>35/25/40</td>
<td>43/23/34</td>
<td>43/21/35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group Session Attendance and Weight Loss: Similar in each diet group**

- Ave Prot, Low-Fat Slope = -0.18 kg/session
- Hi Prot, Low-Fat Slope = -0.19 kg/session
- Ave Prot, High-Fat Slope = -0.25 kg/session
- High Prot, High-Fat Slope = -0.22 kg/session

**Weight Loss: Therapeutic Techniques**

- “Self-help” vs Weight Watchers, 2 years
  - Self-help: 2 dietitian consultations
    - Weight Loss = 0.5 pounds
  - Weight Watchers: weekly meetings
    - Weight Loss = 6.4 pounds overall, 11 pounds in good attenders
- Internet behavioral “e-counseling”
  - 10 pound weight loss at 1 year

Heshka S et al; Tate D et al. JAMA, April 9, 2003

**Serum lipid and insulin changes at 2 years.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrate/Protein/Fat (% energy)</th>
<th>Change From Baseline in Lipids (%</th>
<th>Significant differences between diets:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65/15/20</td>
<td>LDL-C: Low-fat &gt; high-fat; HDL-C: Lowest carb &gt; highest carb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55/25/20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45/15/40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35/25/40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

Reduced calorie diets achieve similar weight loss after 2 years regardless of emphasis on fat, carbohydrate or protein.

- Diet satisfaction, satiety, cravings similar with all approaches.
- Average weight loss = 9 pounds
- Average reduction in waist circumference = 2 inches
- Favorable changes in serum lipid risk factors and serum insulin

---

**Healthy Kitchens, Healthy Lives**
Conclusion
Successful diets for weight loss can emphasize a large range of macronutrient intakes. These diets are made with foods that reduce risk of cardiovascular disease. Serum lipids and insulin are improved on all such diets. But low-fat may not be best for metabolic syndrome or diabetes. Ongoing counseling sessions are important to achieve and maintain weight loss in all groups. Successful diets for weight loss can be tailored to individual patients’ personal and cultural preferences to achieve long-term success.

DASH-Sodium:
Sodium Levels Tested in 412 People
n “Higher”: 150 mmol/d (3.5 g Sodium, 8 g salt)
Similar to average U.S. intake
n “Medium”: 100 mmol/d (2.3 g Sodium, 6 g salt)
Upper limit of current guidelines for hypertension prevention and treatment
n “Lower”: 50 mmol/d (1.2 g Sodium, 3 g salt)
Possible optimal level

Body weight kept constant.

Sodium, Hypertension, Cardiovascular Disease:
Intervention Studies

Accentuation of BP Lowering at Lower Sodium Level

Double-Blind Trial of Three Levels of Sodium Intake: The Log-Linear Dose Effect
Progressively bigger blood pressure reduction the lower sodium intake goes

Age > 45 and Hypertensive: Accentuation of BP Lowering at Lower Sodium; Additive Effects Of Sodium Reduction and DASH Diet

Healthy Kitchens, Healthy Lives
DASH-Sodium Study
The Lower the Sodium the Lower the BP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sodium Change</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Non African American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 vs 100</td>
<td>-2.3*</td>
<td>-2.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 vs 50</td>
<td>-7.0***</td>
<td>-4.7***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 vs 50</td>
<td>-9.4***</td>
<td>-6.9***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<.05   ** p<.001    *** p<.00001


Big Effect of Sodium Reduction to 1500 mg on BP with Usual or DASH Diet, Especially in Middle-Age and Beyond

AGE | 23-41 | 42-47 | 46-54 | 55-74

-5 | -1 | -6 | -2 | -7 | -4 | -8 | -6 mm Hg

With Control diet | With DASH diet

From Bray GA et al. Am J Cardiol 2004;94:222

Dietary Sodium Goal

- Accentuation of BP lowering in 1500 to 2500 mg range of sodium compared to 2500 to 3000 mg.
- Most population groups are responsive, about 70% of the population (CDC MMWR March 27, 2009)
- Low sodium and DASH diet are additive